

Tick one column for each statement:

E = Excellent VG = Very Good G = Good F = Fair D = Disappointing

	E	VG	G	F	D	Comments
1. Quality of Speakers	27%	44%	27%			
2. Relevance of topics	20%	37%	37%	4%		
3. Scheduling and timing	30%	30%	30%	4%		
4. Opportunity for networking	30%	40%	20%	7%		
5. Communication with registrants	27%	37%	20%	4%		
6. Overall, how would you rate the event	34%	47%	17%			

Very good range of speakers-there was a lot of passion in the room.
People so engaged/ interested in CHCH rebuild
So much would appeal to SME owners in the growth stage of business
Start later shorter day
The whole day was excellent

All topics very interesting – seminar well organised
Less about specific projects more about ideas

2. The SC15 theme and topics are relevant to me.

Good range of topics covered in compact day. Have not attended previous workshops to see development of themes and progression or improvements resulting from them
Case studies especially were relevant, interesting and engaging
Thought provoking – possibly needed to be directed towards some resolutions for the future

Q&A sessions were most interesting – more time for these would have been good.
Also relevant to the SME Sector. CECC should be offering this out at a CECC member's rate perhaps?
Too much on structures and not enough on people
More on young people
Each of us CHCH residents and Waimak and Selwyners can contribute – have valuable input
Given the limited time all relevant and several unexpected (and fascinating) surprises
The breakout session in the morning were all interesting and very different. The breakout session in the afternoon were all about similar themes – many people left after lunch and said they would have preferred some of the morning sessions to be available in the afternoon
More focus on future less focus on past – include more students, youth
Excellent variation on topics, very engaging

They were relevant in terms of gaining greater knowledge about projects and the city. I felt the day was very business project build heavy. There was little input from community, new migrants, Ngai Tahu –missing.
Good to understand perspective of other people

Need more about engaging with the community sector and producing an economy which is equitable and sustainable for all
Could have had greater diversity in the Big Recovery Picture session (first 4 all pretty much spokespeople for govt although Peter Townsend and Joanna Norris widened it out in the end. The engaging citizens session also helped what could have been one-sided so overall the balance was ok

It is always important to listen to a wide range of ideas and disciplines so although not directly my field all very interesting and useful I learnt a lot.

3. The balance of input and discussion at SC15 was appropriate.

Some session could have gone longer – discussions among groups of attendees continued into breaks that would have been valuable as a group discussion. Huge focus on younger person and innovation – need to add balance for older person and those who have impairments (or may have associated with age.)
Breakout sessions were interactive and the plenary informative
More questioning time with the leaders in the morning would have been good
End wrap up good
Less open forum without direction, people are tired by the end
Morning session was a bit intense
No wasted time and nothing got “bogged down” kept moving along and yet didn’t feel rushed

It would be nice to have more discussion time – especially at breakout sessions
More discussion and forums about issues less talks. It was a bit of information overload. Discussion is more valuable.
Discussion in the small groups could have been longer. It would be good to have the attendance list for ongoing networking and partnerships.

4. Breakouts

EVolicty sold me with their pre session intro. Very vibrant group of younger persons – should be talking to full group. Some great questions were asked of them and they gave excellent answers.
Both were worthwhile and gave insights into (1) process and (11) available technologies
Morning planning was not what I expected. More voices from people not in planning process
State of Mental Health – excellent – warrants its place in this forum
Vodafone very good
Very good discussions in City Planning Process
Fantastic forums better than just being talked at – Loved the drone video
Very innovative concept and very encouraging to hear comments from the students themselves
Good variety of subjects
The first session was very well facilitated to allow audience involvement and discussion of a decent length (Anchor Us)
Both were very interesting. The student re EVolocity were great and the overall team very well organised and informative = thoroughly enjoyable.

5. What were the highlights for you at SC15?

Networking opportunities
Focus on community engagement
Hearing really positive comments on contributing to the city and Canterbury. Positive stories, innovation, networking.
Discussion session at the end
Vodafone breakout session
Ability to come together and share
Total communications at Vodafone
Hearing about anchor project feelings
Enthusiasm from Peter Townsend
Breakfast session – young minds input
Getting Vodafone to look at IT in our community centre
Peter Townsend, Joanna Norris, Stephen Collins
Stimulation to contribute – encouraged by others does
Meeting people, hearing their views
Mark Quigley, Raf Manji, Peter Townsend, Joanna Norris, City Planning
Mental health session
Mental Health session
Corinne Haines
Meeting people - networking
Student led sessions
General positivity about involving youth in decisions and planning for CHCH

Good interactions
Putting faces to names, getting a sense of the “vibe of the city,
Networking – connecting dots and observing the agendas in the room

6. In your view what are the two most important priorities now for the rebuild of Greater Christchurch?

Make use of the opportunity to implement the technologies that will be of long term benefits “life cycle of buildings” and developing the entire city including suburbs
Really developing a vision for CHCH
Rebuilding a city not a agricultural support suburb
Communication from both CERA and Developers. Opportunities to engage them and give feedback. Accessibility for all ages. Nothing really mentioned about ensuring everyone will be included- able to go about chosen activities – to participate.

Making “good –enough” decisions quickly instead of “perfect decision” later
Freeing up capital to implement these decisions – what Vodafone have bravely done to reform people environments can and should be done for infrastructure as well.

Community engagement and Leadership

The people and engaging them fully in owning the future of Christchurch

More involvement of citizens – I feel enough now have the time getting people to live or relax in the city, eg by NGO's have space for staff and meeting

Opportunities for democratic input with development

Good choices, environment

Vision leadership for city and region resolution of lowering flood prone land – managed retreat????

Speed and positivity

Governance

Bringing the people through the recovery to adapt to CHCH

Commercial realities wider Canterbury context

Unity within Diversity – Informed planning

Addressing the need to be focussed on future proofing including becoming multicultural while recognising the indigenous status of Tangata Whenua

Inclusivity not based on ratepayers but on all tribal groups

East Christchurch, transport

Climate change/greater social equity

A greater plan/small pockets of community have their own plans/ides. The city in general “big Picture” seems to lack overall vision

Involving greater population through a system of participation, smart cities, not dumb building (excluding anchor projects)

Move forward

Involve Youth

Making it happen

Positive long term outcomes

Ecological thinking (broader than science), working out how to build trust with distrustful public

Greater community participation and systems for this to happen – Building trust

Vision and connection of all residents to that vision

Really clear picture of what's going to happen now

Sorting out transport mechanisms for moving around people who live in central city (not just come in and out) eg bring back the yellow buses and get those cycle lanes

Agree with Margaret Austin's comments about evidence based decision making and ecological sustainability

7. What topics and speakers would you like to see at SC16?

Innovation to market and commercialisation wider Canterbury focus

Government listening as well as talking

Accessibility – what it means – how to be inclusive – not just those who have impairment but parents with prams the CEO with the broken leg etc.

Education System and influx of immigrant and assimilation into society

CCC – Mayor – Minister for Rebuild

Physio social

Youth think – tank outcomes presented

The process of developing an iterative vision

Very many thanks for sponsoring my attendance – I hope I gave value as well as receiving it.
Sustainability, climate change, how to integrate the low income mentally ill, disabled into CHCH as forward looking city
John Key
Youth
Similar but with next step in journey
Migrant, community group, youth etc these are the people who should meet and hear re leadership
Governance - post CERA – long term, reps from council and govt Ngai Tahu perspectives
Vision (tie all the what's and how's to action points
Carina Duke – Blind Foundation - Would be keen to present on accessibility – its importance in the rebuild – build it right for everyone.
Karen Selway – I would be interested in presenting in 2016 and sharing my research in the community experience

Feedback via email and texts

"Well done on yesterday and on the whole series - very useful for Christchurch. When Joanna Norris asks what have you done. You've got the answer!" **Hon Nicky Wagner, Associate Minister for Canterbury Earthquake Recovery**

"Was excellent today, thanks." **Paul Drummond, Trimble, Global Monitoring Sales Manager, Trimble**

"Thanks for all your work on the Seismics project... I'm sure its effects will be felt for generations. Best regards," **Michele Moore**

You must be very pleased with the outcome of last Friday – warm congratulations. I have been impressed too with the range of comment in the Press and especially this morning. It was an excellent opportunity too for a wide range of networking. Many thanks" **Hon Margaret Austin, Board Member, Science Alive**

"Thank you for the opportunity to present our Arts in Business panel at the conference. We had an enjoyable session with a well-engaged audience, with good opportunity for follow-ups. I hope you are pleased with your success and all the publicity received. It was all very impressive so congratulations to you and your team. Kind regards." **Gillian Wess, Founder/Director, Enrich**

"Dear Lyall - you have to know that last Friday was one very successful day. I heard so many people saying good things and especially they were appreciating just being involved in the seminar. You are indeed great at networking - and I was so impressed by the calibre and range of people you invited as speakers." **Liz Griffiths**

"On behalf of the Committee for Canterbury, I wanted to thank you for an excellent conference on Friday. Seismics in the City was a thoughtful and well-convened event that, I felt, provided an opportunity for different aspects of the entire community to come together and trade experiences and to ask questions. I know that it has already helped us with some of our thinking and evaluation of priorities as we establish ourselves within the Canterbury scene. Thank you for your support of the Committee, and I look forward to crossing paths with you again in the future." **Erin Jackson, Executive Director, Committee for Canterbury**

"Yes, I would like to echo Erin's email below. It was a very fruitful day. I found the three young leaders inspirational as well as Margaret. I would like to explore some of last week's themes with Tom and Meagan (two of the young leaders) and Margaret. Would you be kind enough to forward their contact details to me so that we can engage with them and keep the momentum going?" **Grant Edmundson**

I enjoyed Seismics and the City on Friday – a very well organised event. Thank you." **Suzanne Jordan, Business Development Manager, NZIM Southern Inc**

"The program was excellent." **David C. Marlett PhD, CPCU, IIANC Professor of Insurance, Department of Finance, Banking and Insurance**

"Congratulations for once again organising a very successful event!" **Keith Walker**